From: Subject: RE: Additional q"s (UNCLASSIFIED) Date: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 2:00:54 PM Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Caveats: NONE I was out sick Thursday and Friday of last week, so my apologies for not getting back to you sooner. In response to your first request, the presentation is now on the web, so the slide you are looking for is available on the NFSS webpage. In regard to your second request. scope is to facilitate technical discussions with the community through the FS for the IWCS Operable Unit. In regard to your last request, I talked with the Office of Counsel Monday afternoon. They said we follow CERCLA, the NCP, and Engineer Regulation 200-1-4 when it comes to the role of Federal, state and local regulators in FUSRAP. For FUDS, the Engineer Regulation is 200-1-3. Arleen ----Original Message-----Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2011 4:31 PM Subject: Additional q's just to confirm, did you receive emails below? Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2011 2:46 PM Subject: RE: additional q's

Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2011 5:18 PM

When might I expect a response?

Subject: additional q's

Thanks.

- 1. Plse forward the new slide I inquired about from last night.
- 2. Plse indicate whether scope will allow for facilitation of issues related to leakage of the IWCS in other words, problems we have with the RI Addendum because we were barred from review and comment on the SAP.
- 3. Plse provide the relevant portions of FUSRAP regulation setting forth the role and responsibilities of local, state and federal regulators, (i.e., DEC, DOH, NCDOH and EPA). For example, FUDs policy clearly states the requirement for concurrence by the supervisory agency for SAP's and remedial actions, etc. I'm looking for FUSRAP regulation that will help me identify similarities and differences to the FUDs policy in this regard.

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE